



THE ROLE OF CLASSROOM MANAGEMENT IN PROMOTING YOUNG LEARNERS' ENGAGEMENT IN PRIMARY ENGLISH LANGUAGE CLASSROOMS

Masharipova Fazilat Maxmudovna

Lecturer, Faculty of Foreign Philology

Urgench State University

<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.23204166>

Abstract: Classroom management is a central component of effective teaching, particularly in primary English language classrooms where young learners' attention, self-regulation, linguistic resources, and learning needs differ substantially from those of adolescents and adults. This article examines the role of classroom management in promoting young learners' behavioral, emotional, and cognitive engagement in primary English language classrooms. The study adopts an evidence-based qualitative research design involving a focused synthesis of established theoretical literature, empirical studies, systematic reviews, and meta-analyses concerning classroom management, teacher-student relationships, classroom climate, and learner engagement. Particular attention is given to classroom organization, clear routines and expectations, teacher-student relationships, positive behavior support, instructional organization, learner participation, and age-appropriate English language activities. Existing evidence indicates that classroom management is not synonymous with discipline or control; rather, it encompasses the organization of time, space, behavior, interaction, instructional activities, and relationships in ways that maximize opportunities for learning. A major meta-analysis of 54 primary-education intervention studies found a small but statistically significant average effect of classroom-management interventions on student outcomes ($g = 0.22$), while the subsequent 2026 update covering 76 studies reported an overall effect of $g = 0.23$. Research on engagement further demonstrates that engagement is multidimensional, incorporating behavioral, emotional, and cognitive components. Evidence concerning teacher-student relationships indicates particularly meaningful associations between supportive relationships and student engagement. The article argues that effective management in primary English classrooms should combine predictable routines, positive relationships, active participation, appropriate transitions, differentiated support, and communicative learning activities. The findings suggest that classroom management should be conceptualized as a pedagogical infrastructure that creates the conditions under which young learners can participate actively and confidently in English.

Keywords

classroom management; young learners; primary education; English language teaching; student engagement; teacher-student relationships; classroom climate; behavioral engagement; emotional engagement; cognitive engagement

Introduction

The teaching of English to young learners has become an increasingly important component of primary education in many educational systems. However, successful English language instruction at the primary level requires more than appropriate linguistic content or effective teaching methods. The organization of the classroom environment, management of

learner behavior, quality of teacher–student relationships, allocation of instructional time, and structure of learning activities all influence the conditions under which children participate in learning. Classroom management is therefore not an auxiliary component of English language teaching but an essential part of the instructional process.

Classroom management has traditionally been associated with discipline, rules, and the prevention of disruptive behavior. Contemporary educational research, however, conceptualizes classroom management more broadly. Evertson and Weinstein define the field in terms of actions that create and maintain an environment supporting both academic and social-emotional learning [1, pp. 3–15]. From this perspective, effective management includes organizing physical space, establishing procedures, managing instructional activities, monitoring behavior, supporting relationships, and maintaining conditions conducive to learning.

This broader understanding is particularly important in primary English classrooms. Young learners are still developing self-regulation, attention control, social competence, and metacognitive awareness. Cameron notes that children can be enthusiastic and active participants in language learning but may also lose interest more quickly and experience difficulty maintaining motivation when tasks are unclear or challenging [3, pp. 1–20]. Consequently, classroom organization has direct pedagogical significance: children need clearly structured activities, comprehensible instructions, appropriate levels of challenge, and opportunities for meaningful participation.

Student engagement is similarly multidimensional. Fredricks, Blumenfeld, and Paris conceptualize engagement through behavioral, emotional, and cognitive dimensions [6, pp. 59–109]. Behavioral engagement concerns participation, effort, attention, and persistence; emotional engagement concerns interest, enjoyment, belonging, and affective reactions; cognitive engagement involves investment in learning, strategic thinking, and willingness to exert mental effort. This conceptualization is particularly useful for primary English education because classroom management can potentially influence all three dimensions simultaneously.

The connection between classroom management and learner outcomes is supported by empirical research. Korpershoek et al. examined 54 random and nonrandom controlled intervention studies conducted in primary education and found small but statistically significant effects of classroom-management interventions across academic, behavioral, and social-emotional outcomes, with an average Hedges' *g* of 0.22 [10, pp. 643–680]. Their findings also indicated that approaches emphasizing students' social-emotional development made an important contribution to intervention effectiveness.

The updated meta-analysis published in 2026 extended this evidence base to 76 controlled intervention studies published between 2003 and 2022 and reported an overall average Hedges' *g* of 0.23 [11, pp. 1640–1680]. These findings suggest that classroom management has a measurable, although generally modest, relationship with student outcomes. The implication is not that classroom management alone determines achievement or engagement, but that the classroom environment represents an important educational condition that interacts with instructional and relational processes.

Within English language education, the issue has additional significance because language learning depends heavily on interaction. Zein argues that classroom management in English-for-young-learners contexts needs to address not only behavioral management but also

instructional management, classroom conditions, educational cultures, teacher characteristics, and technology [2, pp. 154–168].

The purpose of this article is therefore to examine how classroom management can promote young learners' engagement in primary English language classrooms and to identify evidence-based management principles that are pedagogically appropriate for children.

Methodology

The article employs a qualitative evidence-synthesis methodology. Rather than presenting an original experimental dataset, the study synthesizes established findings from peer-reviewed research, systematic reviews, meta-analyses, and authoritative academic books addressing classroom management, student engagement, teacher–student relationships, classroom climate, and English language teaching with young learners.

The literature was selected according to four principal criteria. First, sources needed to address classroom management, student engagement, teacher–student interaction, classroom climate, or primary English language teaching. Second, priority was given to peer-reviewed research, systematic reviews, meta-analyses, and established academic publications. Third, quantitative findings were included only when reported by the original or authoritative source. Fourth, sources specifically addressing children or primary education were prioritized when conclusions concerned young learners.

The conceptual framework combines three complementary perspectives. The first is classroom-management theory, which considers rules, routines, organization, behavior management, instructional time, and teacher responses [1]. The second is the multidimensional conceptualization of student engagement proposed by Fredricks et al., distinguishing behavioral, emotional, and cognitive engagement [6, pp. 59–109]. The third is research on teacher–student relationships and classroom climate, which emphasizes emotional support, teacher responsiveness, classroom organization, and instructional support [8, pp. 493–529; 9, pp. 239–261].

The synthesis also considers evidence specifically relevant to teaching English to children. Cameron emphasizes the importance of adapting tasks and activities to children's cognitive, linguistic, and social development [3, pp. 21–35]. Pinter similarly emphasizes interaction, active learning, child development, learning environments, and learner participation in primary language education [5, pp. 23–42, 53–74].

The analysis does not claim causal relationships where the cited literature establishes only correlations. This distinction is important because classroom climate, teacher support, student engagement, and academic achievement are interconnected constructs. The objective is therefore to identify robust patterns in the literature rather than to attribute all learner engagement directly to classroom management.

Results

The literature synthesis produced five major findings concerning the relationship between classroom management and young learners' engagement.

The first finding is that effective classroom management creates the organizational conditions necessary for engagement. Young learners require predictable structures because transitions, unclear instructions, excessive waiting time, and poorly organized activities can reduce opportunities for active participation. Evertson and Weinstein identify classroom organization and the establishment of procedures as fundamental components of management

[1, pp. 3–15]. In primary English classrooms, this means that learners should know what happens at the beginning of the lesson, how activities change, how materials are used, how they work with partners, and how they conclude tasks.

This principle is consistent with Cameron's analysis of young learner classrooms. Children are active participants who attempt to understand the purpose and expectations of classroom activities, but they may experience difficulties when task demands and available support are poorly balanced [3, pp. 21–35]. Effective management can therefore reduce unnecessary cognitive and behavioral demands and allow learners to direct more attention toward language.

The second finding concerns the relationship between classroom management and student behavior. The 2016 meta-analysis by Korpershoek et al. synthesized 54 controlled studies in primary education and found an average Hedges' g of 0.22 across student outcomes [10, pp. 643–680]. The effects were described as small but statistically significant. Importantly, the study did not support the assumption that management should focus exclusively on controlling misconduct. Strategies addressing students' social-emotional development appeared particularly important for social-emotional outcomes [10, pp. 643–680].

The updated evidence published in 2026 reached a highly similar overall conclusion. The updated meta-analysis included 22 additional studies, resulting in 76 random and nonrandom controlled intervention studies covering the period 2003–2022. The average overall effect was Hedges' $g = 0.23$ [11, pp. 1640–1680]. The consistency between the earlier and updated estimates strengthens the conclusion that classroom-management interventions have a small but reliable positive association with student outcomes.

The third finding concerns engagement itself. Engagement should not be reduced to visible obedience. Fredricks et al. distinguish behavioral, emotional, and cognitive dimensions [6, pp. 59–109]. Skinner, Kindermann, and Furrer further distinguish engagement from disaffection and identify behavioral and emotional participation as important dimensions of classroom involvement [7, pp. 493–525]. Their empirical study included 1,018 students in Grades 3–6, providing evidence for the multidimensional character of engagement.

For primary English teaching, this distinction is essential. A child may remain physically quiet but be cognitively disengaged, while another child may move frequently yet remain emotionally and cognitively involved in a communicative task. Consequently, classroom management should not seek merely to maximize silence. Its purpose should be to maximize productive participation in learning.

The fourth finding concerns teacher–student relationships. Roorda et al.'s 2011 meta-analysis included 99 studies and examined associations between affective teacher–student relationships, student engagement, and achievement [8, pp. 493–529]. The study found stronger associations between teacher–student relationships and engagement than between such relationships and achievement. Positive relationships were associated with engagement, while negative relationships were associated with poorer outcomes.

The subsequent meta-analytic update by Roorda et al. included 189 studies and 249,198 students and specifically examined whether engagement mediated the relationship between affective teacher–student relationships and achievement [9, pp. 239–261]. The study also examined differences between primary and secondary education. This evidence reinforces the importance of relational classroom management: children are more likely to participate productively when teachers establish supportive and respectful interactions.

The fifth finding is that classroom climate represents a multidimensional context for engagement. Wang et al.'s systematic review and meta-analysis synthesized 61 studies, 679 effect sizes, and 73,824 participants from research published between 2000 and 2016. The findings showed small-to-medium positive associations between classroom climate and motivation, engagement, social competence, and academic achievement, while negative associations were found with socioemotional distress and externalizing behaviors [12].

These findings demonstrate that management should be understood as part of a larger classroom climate rather than as an isolated disciplinary technique.

Analysis and Discussion

The evidence indicates that classroom management contributes to engagement through several interconnected mechanisms. The first is predictability. Young learners benefit from repeated routines because predictable structures reduce uncertainty about what they are expected to do. In an English lesson, routines can include greeting procedures, warm-up activities, vocabulary review, pair-work instructions, transitions between activities, and lesson closure. Slattery and Willis emphasize the importance of classroom language and activity structures appropriate for primary learners [4, pp. 20–41, 96–108]. Their work demonstrates that classroom organization and language instruction can be integrated rather than treated as separate processes.

The second mechanism is time-on-task. Poor classroom management can consume instructional time through unnecessary transitions, repeated explanations, material distribution, or responses to disruptive behavior. Effective routines allow the teacher to devote more time to language exposure, interaction, practice, and feedback. This is particularly important in English as a foreign language because primary learners may have relatively limited opportunities to encounter and use English outside school.

The third mechanism is emotional security. Children may be reluctant to speak a foreign language because making mistakes can produce embarrassment or anxiety. A classroom environment characterized by ridicule, excessive punishment, or unpredictable teacher reactions can therefore discourage oral participation. By contrast, respectful correction, encouragement, and opportunities to attempt language without fear can support emotional engagement. Teacher–student relationship research provides a strong empirical basis for this argument [8, pp. 493–529; 9, pp. 239–261].

This does not mean that teachers should eliminate expectations or consequences. Effective management requires clear standards for behavior. The critical distinction is between punitive control and instructional guidance. Rules should communicate what students are expected to do, while teacher responses should redirect inappropriate behavior without unnecessarily damaging relationships.

The fourth mechanism is active participation. Young learners often respond positively to activities involving movement, interaction, stories, songs, games, visual materials, and concrete tasks. Cameron describes classroom tasks as environments in which language learning occurs and emphasizes the need to balance task demands and support [3, pp. 21–35]. Effective management is consequently necessary for active methodology: pair and group work cannot function efficiently unless students understand roles, time limits, movement expectations, and interaction procedures.



This point is particularly relevant to English language teaching. A communicative activity may appear disorderly to an observer unfamiliar with young learner pedagogy, even when students are actively practicing the target language. Therefore, classroom management should distinguish between productive noise and disruptive behavior. A classroom containing pair conversations, repetition, role-play, and collaborative problem-solving may be highly engaged despite a moderate level of sound and movement.

The fifth mechanism is learner autonomy. Contemporary approaches to young learner education increasingly recognize that children should not be passive recipients of teacher instructions. Pinter's work emphasizes active learning, social interaction, learner development, collaborative learning, and opportunities for children to participate in decisions concerning learning [5, pp. 23–42, 113–140]. Management can support autonomy by giving children structured choices—for example, selecting between two tasks, choosing partners within established procedures, or deciding how to present a project.

Such practices can also support motivation. Ryan and Deci's self-determination theory emphasizes the psychological importance of autonomy, competence, and relatedness for motivation and well-being [13, pp. 68–78]. In a primary English classroom, these needs can be addressed through manageable choices, achievable challenges, constructive feedback, and supportive relationships.

Another important consideration is the role of teacher support. Tao et al.'s meta-analysis of 71 empirical articles found a small-to-medium relationship between perceived teacher support and academic achievement ($r = 0.16$), and the authors found that behavioral, cognitive, and emotional engagement partially mediated the relationship between teacher support and achievement [14, pp. 401–420]. This finding is particularly relevant to classroom management because it indicates that teacher support may influence achievement partly through students' engagement.

Recent research further strengthens this argument. Vargas-Madriz, Konishi, and Wong synthesized 141 studies involving 525,129 students and found that teacher support was positively associated with school engagement, although the magnitude varied according to dimensions of support, engagement, school level, study design, and other characteristics [15, pp. 1–26].

For primary English teachers, these findings suggest several practical principles.

First, expectations should be explicit and age-appropriate. Young learners should not be expected to infer complex classroom procedures independently. Teachers should demonstrate procedures, use consistent language, and provide visual or physical cues where appropriate.

Second, transitions should be deliberately managed. Changing from whole-class teaching to pair work, from reading to speaking, or from a game to written work can create behavioral difficulties if transition procedures are not established. Short routines, countdowns, signals, and consistent instructions can reduce unnecessary disruption.

Third, classroom management should be integrated with lesson design. A poorly designed activity can generate behavioral problems even when the teacher has strong disciplinary skills. Tasks that are too difficult, too easy, excessively long, or insufficiently meaningful can reduce engagement. Therefore, management should begin during lesson planning rather than only after problems appear.



Fourth, teachers should use positive reinforcement carefully. Positive feedback is most useful when it identifies the desired behavior or learning action rather than simply praising the child in general terms. For example, recognizing effective pair interaction or persistent use of English provides information that students can use to regulate their behavior.

Fifth, teachers should monitor participation rather than merely behavior. A well-managed English classroom should provide opportunities for all learners to speak, listen, read, write, collaborate, and respond. Classroom management becomes pedagogically meaningful when it increases the proportion of learners actively involved in these processes.

Finally, management should be culturally and contextually responsive. Zein emphasizes that English-for-young-learners classroom management cannot simply import assumptions from general classroom-management research because educational cultures, teacher preparation, class conditions, and language-learning contexts differ [2, pp. 154–168]. This is particularly important in multilingual and culturally diverse classrooms.

The evidence also demonstrates the importance of avoiding exaggerated claims. The meta-analytic effect sizes associated with classroom management are generally small rather than transformative [10, pp. 643–680; 11, pp. 1640–1680]. Therefore, classroom management should not be presented as a single solution to all difficulties in English language education. Learner engagement is also influenced by instructional quality, language proficiency, curriculum, motivation, family and school context, peer relationships, learner characteristics, and access to learning resources.

Nevertheless, the consistency of evidence across different research traditions suggests that effective management provides an important foundation for engagement. It creates the conditions under which high-quality English instruction can occur. Without adequate organization and supportive relationships, even theoretically strong communicative activities may fail to reach their intended learners.

Conclusion

Classroom management plays a substantial pedagogical role in promoting young learners' engagement in primary English language classrooms. Contemporary evidence indicates that effective management should be understood not simply as discipline or control but as the deliberate organization of classroom space, time, behavior, interaction, instructional activities, and relationships.

The evidence reviewed in this article demonstrates several consistent patterns. First, classroom-management interventions produce small but statistically significant positive effects across important student outcomes. The 2016 meta-analysis of 54 controlled studies reported an average Hedges' g of 0.22, while the 2026 update based on 76 studies reported an average g of 0.23 [10, pp. 643–680; 11, pp. 1640–1680]. Second, student engagement is multidimensional and includes behavioral, emotional, and cognitive participation [6, pp. 59–109; 7, pp. 493–525]. Third, supportive teacher–student relationships are consistently associated with stronger engagement [8, pp. 493–529; 9, pp. 239–261]. Fourth, classroom climate has positive associations with motivation, engagement, social competence, and academic achievement [12]. Finally, teacher support appears to contribute to achievement partly through student engagement [14, pp. 401–420].

For primary English language classrooms, these findings have direct pedagogical implications. Teachers should establish predictable routines, communicate clear expectations,

organize transitions carefully, maintain supportive relationships, design age-appropriate tasks, provide opportunities for interaction, and use positive and informative feedback. Management should facilitate active language use rather than merely suppress noise or movement.

The central conclusion is that classroom management should be regarded as part of the instructional architecture of primary English education. Its ultimate purpose is not simply to make children behave appropriately but to create an environment in which children can participate, communicate, take risks, persist in learning, and develop positive attitudes toward English. Effective classroom management therefore functions as a foundation for engagement, while high-quality instruction, meaningful language activities, and positive teacher–student relationships transform that foundation into actual learning opportunities.

References:

- [1] Evertson, C. M., & Weinstein, C. S. *Classroom Management as a Field of Inquiry*. In C. M. Evertson & C. S. Weinstein (Eds.), *Handbook of Classroom Management: Research, Practice, and Contemporary Issues*. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, 2006, pp. 3–15.
- [2] Zein, S. *Classroom Management for Teaching English to Young Learners*. In S. Garton & F. Copland (Eds.), *The Routledge Handbook of Teaching English to Young Learners*. Routledge, 2018, pp. 154–168.
- [3] Cameron, L. *Teaching Languages to Young Learners*. Cambridge University Press, 2001, pp. 1–20, 21–35, 36–71.
- [4] Slattery, M., & Willis, J. *English for Primary Teachers: A Handbook of Activities and Classroom Language*. Oxford University Press, 2001, pp. 20–41, 96–108.
- [5] Pinter, A. *Teaching Young Language Learners*. 2nd ed. Oxford University Press, 2017, pp. 23–42, 53–74, 113–140.
- [6] Fredricks, J. A., Blumenfeld, P. C., & Paris, A. H. *School Engagement: Potential of the Concept, State of the Evidence*. *Review of Educational Research*, 2004, Vol. 74, No. 1, pp. 59–109.
- [7] Skinner, E. A., Kindermann, T. A., & Furrer, C. J. *A Motivational Perspective on Engagement and Disaffection: Conceptualization and Assessment of Children's Behavioral and Emotional Participation in Academic Activities in the Classroom*. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 2009, Vol. 69, No. 3, pp. 493–525.
- [8] Roorda, D. L., Koomen, H. M. Y., Spilt, J. L., & Oort, F. J. *The Influence of Affective Teacher–Student Relationships on Students' School Engagement and Achievement: A Meta-Analytic Approach*. *Review of Educational Research*, 2011, Vol. 81, No. 4, pp. 493–529.
- [9] Roorda, D. L., Jak, S., Zee, M., Oort, F. J., & Koomen, H. M. Y. *Affective Teacher–Student Relationships and Students' Engagement and Achievement: A Meta-Analytic Update and Test of the Mediating Role of Engagement*. *School Psychology Review*, 2017, Vol. 46, No. 3, pp. 239–261.
- [10] Korpershoek, H., Harms, T., de Boer, H., van Kuijk, M., & Doolaard, S. *A Meta-Analysis of the Effects of Classroom Management Strategies and Classroom Management Programs on Students' Academic, Behavioral, Emotional, and Motivational Outcomes*. *Review of Educational Research*, 2016, Vol. 86, No. 3, pp. 643–680.
- [11] Korpershoek, H., de Boer, H., & Mouw, J. M. *An Update of the Meta-Analysis of the Effects of Classroom Management Interventions on Students' Academic, Behavioral, Social-Emotional, and Motivational Outcomes*. *Review of Educational Research*, 2026, Vol. 96, No. 5, pp. 1640–1680.

- [12] Wang, M.-T., Degol, J. L., Amemiya, J., Parr, A., & Guo, J. *Classroom Climate and Children's Academic and Psychological Wellbeing: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis*. *Developmental Review*, 2020, Vol. 57, Article 100912. The synthesis covered 61 studies, 679 effect sizes, and 73,824 participants.
- [13] Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. *Self-Determination Theory and the Facilitation of Intrinsic Motivation, Social Development, and Well-Being*. *American Psychologist*, 2000, Vol. 55, No. 1, pp. 68–78.
- [14] Tao, Y., Meng, Y., Gao, Z., & Yang, X. *Perceived Teacher Support, Student Engagement, and Academic Achievement: A Meta-Analysis*. *Educational Psychology*, 2022, Vol. 42, No. 4, pp. 401–420.
- [15] Vargas-Madriz, L. F., Konishi, C., & Wong, T. K. *A Meta-Analysis of the Association Between Teacher Support and School Engagement*. *Social Development*, 2024, Vol. 33, No. 4, Article e12745, pp. 1–26 of the article.