

STRUCTURAL ORIGINS OF INTERETHNIC TENSION IN THE FERGANA VALLEY IN THE 1980S: A SOCIO-ECONOMIC AND DEMOGRAPHIC ANALYSIS

O.O.Bazarov
Kokand State University, Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) in History, Associate Professor
https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.22958720

Abstract

The interethnic violence that engulfed the Fergana Valley in June 1989 is frequently described as a spontaneous clash between the local Uzbek population and the Meskhetian Turks who had been deported to the region in 1944. This article challenges that reading by reconstructing the structural conditions that preceded the violence. Drawing on Soviet statistical collections, regional and central archival materials, the contemporary periodical press and oral testimony, it examines the socio-economic and demographic situation of the Andijan, Namangan and Fergana provinces during the 1980s. The analysis proceeds along four axes: the distortions produced by cotton monoculture, the raw-material orientation of regional industry, the depression of living standards below both the republican and all-Union averages, and the mismatch between rapid demographic growth and the availability of employment and land. It further situates these material conditions within the framework of late-Soviet nationality policy. The findings indicate that by the end of the decade the Fergana Valley had fallen below the average indicators of both the Uzbek SSR and the USSR across virtually every dimension of social welfare, generating a reservoir of grievance that pre-dated and was independent of relations between specific ethnic communities. The study concludes that the events of 1989 are more accurately understood as the eruption of an accumulated structural crisis with an ethnic surface than as a primordial ethnic antagonism.

Keywords: Fergana Valley; interethnic relations; Soviet nationality policy; cotton monoculture; unemployment; demographic pressure; Uzbek SSR; perestroika; Meskhetian Turks

1. Introduction

The study of interethnic conflict has become one of the central preoccupations of contemporary historiography and the social sciences. In an era of accelerating globalisation and information exchange, the analysis of the causes, dynamics and consequences of ethnic tension is a matter of first-order importance for many states. Among the varied forms of social conflict that have marked human history, interethnic confrontations are distinguished by their emotional intensity and their capacity to draw entire communities into cycles of grievance and retaliation. For the successor states of the former Soviet Union, understanding the conflicts of the late-Soviet period is not merely an academic exercise but a precondition for securing internal stability and interethnic accord.

Uzbekistan offers a particularly instructive case. Since the first years of independence, the country has placed the harmonisation of interethnic relations, the cultivation of tolerance, and the equal protection of all citizens irrespective of nationality or religion at the centre of its social policy. The preservation and development of the history, culture and traditions of every nationality and ethnic diaspora living in the country, and the education of the young generation

in a spirit of respect for national and universal values, have been treated as tasks of continuing importance. This policy orientation lends the study of the 1989 events a relevance that is not merely historical: an accurate understanding of how interethnic violence arose in the past is a precondition for the informed conduct of interethnic policy in the present, and the analytical study of such episodes remains a live task for scholarship and for the state alike.

Yet the most severe episode of interethnic violence in the republic's modern history — the Fergana events of June 1989 — remains insufficiently understood in its structural dimensions. In popular memory and in a considerable body of contemporary journalism, the events are reduced to a sudden and inexplicable outburst of hostility between Uzbeks and Meskhetian Turks. This framing, which locates the cause of the violence in the relationship between two ethnic groups, obscures more than it reveals. It offers no account of why the violence should have erupted precisely when and where it did, why it should have spread with such speed across an entire region, or why a coexistence sustained over more than four decades should have collapsed within a matter of days. To answer these questions one must look beneath the ethnic surface of the events to the material conditions on which they rested.

The premise of the present study is that the violence of 1989 cannot be explained without reference to the socio-economic and demographic conditions that had accumulated in the Fergana Valley over the preceding decade and more. The valley — comprising the Andijan, Namangan and Fergana provinces — was among the most economically significant and, simultaneously, among the most socially strained regions of the Uzbek SSR. To understand why a local altercation could escalate into region-wide violence within a matter of days, one must first understand the material substrate on which that escalation took place.

A survey of the existing literature reveals three broad currents. Works produced during the Soviet period, whether by historians, economists or political writers, approached the region's development through the prism of communist ideology and the theory of class, emphasising the achievements of the socialist economy while leaving the negative consequences of the nationality policy — the repression of national distinctiveness and the denial of local interests — largely unexamined [1,2,3]. A second current, produced in the years of independence, adopted a more objective and critical stance, opening up the social, economic and spiritual consequences of Soviet nationality policy and the ethnopsychological dimensions of interethnic relations [4,5,6]. A third current comprises foreign scholarship, which has treated particular aspects of the question — the deportation of the Meskhetian Turks, the dynamics of the 1989 events, and the theoretical interpretation of ethnic conflict [7,8]. What none of this literature has provided is a dedicated, source-based reconstruction of the socio-economic and demographic conditions of the Fergana Valley in the 1980s as the structural precondition of the 1989 violence.

The aim of this article is to fill that gap. It sets out to establish, on the basis of statistical and archival evidence, the material conditions of the Fergana Valley during the 1980s, and to demonstrate that these conditions — rather than any inherent antagonism between ethnic communities — constituted the principal cause of the tension that erupted in 1989. The tasks of the study are: to analyse the distortions introduced into the regional economy by cotton monoculture and the raw-material orientation of industry; to document the depression of living standards relative to the republican and all-Union averages; to examine the interaction of demographic growth with employment and land scarcity; and to situate these findings within

the framework of Soviet nationality policy. A companion study treats the events of June 1989 themselves and their consequences; the present article is confined to their structural origins.

2. Materials and Methods

This study belongs to the field of the modern history of Uzbekistan (specialisation 07.00.01) and employs the methodological apparatus of historical scholarship. The principles of historicism and objectivity govern the treatment of the evidence throughout: events and indicators are examined in their concrete temporal context and assessed without the ideological filters that shaped Soviet-era accounts. Alongside these general principles, the study applies systematisation, statistical, and comparative methods, supplemented by data drawn from questionnaire surveys.

The comparative method is central to the argument. Wherever possible, the indicators for the three provinces of the Fergana Valley are placed against two benchmarks: the average for the Uzbek SSR and the average for the USSR as a whole. This three-tier comparison makes it possible to distinguish conditions specific to the valley from those characteristic of the republic or the Union at large, and thereby to identify the region's relative deprivation with some precision.

The source base is composite. Soviet statistical collections provide the quantitative foundation: the all-Union censuses of 1979 and 1989, the annual and multi-year economic yearbooks of the Uzbek SSR, and the specialised collections on the social and economic development of the Fergana province during the eleventh and twelfth five-year plans [9,10,11,12]. These published figures are supplemented by unpublished materials from the Central State Archive of the Republic of Uzbekistan (the fonds of the Council of Ministers and the Presidium of the Supreme Soviet of the Uzbek SSR) and from the regional state archives of Fergana, Andijan and Namangan, as well as the Fergana provincial section of the archive of the Uzbek Communist Party [13]. The contemporary periodical press — in particular the provincial newspapers *Kommuna*, *Kommunist* and *Namangan haqiqati* — furnishes evidence of how conditions were reported and debated at the time [14,15]. Finally, the study draws on oral testimony gathered from witnesses, a method of particular value for the recent past, where memory-testimony can illuminate dimensions of everyday experience that the documentary record omits.

The questionnaire-survey method warrants particular comment. Because the standard statistical record captures the region in aggregate but is silent on the subjective experience of deprivation, structured surveys and the systematic collection of eyewitness recollection were used to recover how the conditions documented in the statistics were lived and perceived by the population. For the most recent period of history, where many participants remain alive, memory-testimony is not a supplement to the documentary record but a primary source in its own right, one that discloses dimensions of everyday life — the daily experience of unemployment, of overcrowded housing, of shortage — that the plan reports and yearbooks systematically omit.

The combination of quantitative sources with archival documentation and oral testimony allows the socio-economic condition of the valley to be reconstructed both in its measurable aggregate and in its lived texture. The reliability of the findings rests on the convergence of these independent bodies of evidence and on the introduction into scholarly circulation of a number of previously unused archival documents. Where the published statistics, the archival

record and the testimony of witnesses point in the same direction, the resulting picture may be advanced with confidence; where they diverge, the divergence is itself noted rather than resolved by preference for one source over another.

3. Results

3.1. Cotton monoculture and the distortion of agriculture

Under Soviet economic management the three provinces of the Fergana Valley formed a single economic space that occupied a leading position not only within the Uzbek SSR but within the economy of the Union as a whole. The region's territory of 19,200 square kilometres amounted to only 4.3 per cent of the republic's area, yet it was home to 26.1 per cent of its population [9]. This extraordinary concentration of people on a limited and intensively exploited land base is the demographic backdrop against which every other indicator must be read.

The defining feature of the valley's agriculture was the primacy of cotton. In pursuit of ever-higher procurement quotas, food crops, orchards and newly reclaimed land were progressively converted to cotton, so that by the beginning of the 1980s between 80 and 85 per cent of the sown area of the valley — and in some districts and farms as much as 90 per cent — was given over to the crop [14]. The subordination of the land to a single commodity had cascading effects. Fruit production, for which the valley had once been renowned, contracted: in 1980 the Andijan province produced 101,600 tonnes of fruit, the Fergana province 84,400 tonnes and the Namangan province 85,200 tonnes, and by 1988 these figures had fallen appreciably [12]. Grain output followed the same trajectory: gross grain harvests that stood at 128,100 tonnes in Andijan, 127,200 tonnes in Fergana and 106,800 tonnes in Namangan in 1980 had declined to 106,600, 120,600 and 99,300 tonnes respectively by 1988 [12], even as the population continued to grow by tens of thousands each year.

The rigidity of the cotton regime persisted even when central policy nominally called for its relaxation. During the perestroika years the government adopted several resolutions to reduce the cotton area; a decision of 1988, for example, envisaged a reduction of 90,000 hectares relative to 1987. In practice the resolution went unimplemented, and the tradition of expanding the cotton area continued: an inspection by the republican agro-industrial committee established that in that same year close to 40,000 hectares of land intended for food crops had in fact been sown with cotton [14]. The persistence of the quota system in the face of contrary instruction is itself instructive: it demonstrates that the drivers of monoculture were not local but systemic, rooted in the plan targets set by the Centre and in an administrative apparatus for which the fulfilment of the cotton plan took precedence over every other consideration, including the quality of the product and the welfare of the producing population.

The obsessive expansion of the crop also carried a heavy ecological cost, and this ecological dimension is inseparable from the socio-economic one. In the pursuit of ever-larger procurement figures, the subjective inflation of cotton targets wrecked the consistent rotation of crops on the land; the reclamation of land beyond any reasonable norm produced acute shortages of water; and the excessive application of chemical inputs sharply degraded the ameliorative condition of the valley's principal asset — its soil [13]. The land that had for centuries sustained a diversified and prosperous agriculture was, within a generation, exhausted and salinised in the service of a single commodity. The reduction in food and fruit production documented above was thus not a temporary fluctuation but the visible expression

of a deeper degradation of the productive base, one that translated directly into the deterioration of the population's diet and standard of living.

3.2. The raw-material orientation of industry

The one-sidedness that characterised agriculture was reproduced in industry. Analysis of the region's industrial structure shows that it was developed in a markedly lopsided fashion, with the capacity of the population for work and its consumption needs left substantially out of account, and with the production of quality finished goods and consumer articles organised at a low level. More than 72 per cent of gross industrial output was tied to agriculturally linked branches, and industry was oriented overwhelmingly to the primary processing of raw materials and the manufacture of semi-finished goods rather than to finished production [13].

Two features of this arrangement were especially consequential. First, enterprises were frequently sited not where raw materials, labour and infrastructure were available but in regions to which labour and raw materials had to be brought over great distances. Thus the Fergana province, which produced more than half a million tonnes of cotton annually and possessed an abundant labour force, contained not a single large mill capable of turning cotton fibre into finished yarn and cloth; at the same time, large chemical enterprises — an inappropriate choice for a densely populated province — were established there [13]. Second, under conditions of cotton monoculture the beneficiaries of the value chain were not the producers of the raw material but its processors, who lay outside the region. Scholars have estimated that in the 1980s the cotton fibre exported from Uzbekistan to other regions generated in excess of 65 billion roubles of aggregate social product and close to 25 billion roubles of national income — sums that did not participate in the formation of the republican budget [4]. The Fergana Valley, which supplied roughly 30 per cent of the cotton grown in Uzbekistan, was among the principal losers of this arrangement.

The internal composition of the region's industry reveals the same distortion. Over many years the economy of the valley was dominated not by branch "A" — the production of the means of production — but by branch "B", the production of consumer articles, and even within the latter the structure was skewed. In the mid-1980s the share of light industry stood at 53.1 per cent, but within light industry the cotton-ginning branch occupied a decisive place, crowding out the spinning and weaving that might have converted the region's own raw material into finished cloth [13]. The experience of the industrialised world shows that the development of light industry is at once a precondition for the growth of heavy industry and one of the principal means of resolving the problem of employment. In the Fergana Valley, however, the subordination of light industry to the primary processing of cotton deprived it of precisely this employment-generating capacity, so that a region with an abundant and growing labour force derived little relief from the branch of industry best suited to absorb it.

The neglect of home-based and small-scale production compounded the failure. The development of home-based work (kasanachilik) is a recognised means of raising employment, yet in the period under study it was starved of attention and resources, and the number of home workers fell year by year: in the enterprises of the Namangan local-industry administration in 1987 the number of home workers reached only 2,816 against a planned 3,400, a shortfall of 584, while in the system of everyday services to the population it stood at 310 against a planned 440 [15]. The absence of opportunities for small-scale craft and enterprise, in a region where

the state sector was overwhelmingly dominant, closed off one of the few avenues by which the surplus population might have found productive work.

The structural bias against the production of consumer goods meant that as inter-republican economic ties began to fray in the second half of the 1980s, shortages of scarce goods multiplied. A region that produced vast quantities of raw material was left dependent on distant suppliers for the finished articles of everyday life — a dependence that translated directly into the deprivations documented below.

3.3. Living standards below the Union and republican averages

The cumulative effect of agrarian and industrial distortion was a level of social welfare that lagged behind both the Uzbek and the all-Union averages across a wide range of indicators. This relative deprivation is the central empirical finding of the study, and it can be documented across food consumption, housing and health care.

Per-capita food consumption in the provinces of the valley stood several times below the USSR average and, for many products, below the Uzbek average as well [12]. Housing provision was still more revealing. At the beginning of 1989, 28,000 families in the towns and villages of the Fergana province were on waiting lists to improve their housing conditions, and 526 people were living in dwellings classified as structurally unsafe [13]. The average living space per person, which the sanitary norm set at 15 square metres, amounted to only 8.6 square metres in the Fergana province and 8.7 square metres in the Andijan province, against an all-Union average of 15.3 square metres and a republican average of 10.8 square metres [11,13]. Individual house-building, one of the principal means of relieving the shortage, was chronically behind plan: in the Fergana province the individual construction plan was not met in a single year between 1981 and 1985, and the volume of individual construction in the eleventh five-year plan fell by 220,000 square metres relative to the tenth. Rural amenities were correspondingly poor: in the Namangan province only 75 per cent of the rural population had access to piped water and a mere 9 per cent to natural gas [13].

Health care exhibited the same pattern of relative deprivation. In 1986 the valley provided 33.9 doctors per 10,000 population in Andijan, 26.6 in Namangan and 25.7 in Fergana, against a republican average of 34.1 and an all-Union average of 43.6 [12]. Provision of mid-level medical staff in 1988 stood at 96.5, 95.7 and 103.1 per 10,000 in the three provinces respectively, against a republican average of 104.4 [12]. Behind these ratios lay a decaying material base: in the Andijan province at the end of the decade 90 per cent of medical buildings failed to meet sanitary norms, 79 per cent lacked sewerage, 80 per cent lacked a hot-water supply and 12 per cent required outright demolition, while the shortage of hospital beds amounted to 2,896 [13]. For a population growing rapidly and disproportionately young, the inadequacy of health provision was not an abstract statistic but a daily hardship.

3.4. Demographic pressure, unemployment and labour import

The decisive factor conditioning the socio-economic development of the valley was the specificity of its demographic situation, and above all the high rate of natural population increase. At the end of the 1980s the population grew annually by 43,000–45,000 in the Andijan province, by some 53,700 in the Fergana province and by close to 39,000 in the Namangan province [12]. The 1989 census recorded 1,727,000 inhabitants in the Andijan province, 1,477,000 in the Namangan province and 2,154,000 in the Fergana province — more than five

million people in all [16]. Population density, already 233.3 persons per square kilometre in 1979, rose still higher by the end of the decade [13].

The critical problem was that the growth of workplaces lagged far behind the growth of labour resources. By some estimates, of the more than five million inhabitants of the valley, 1.5 million were without regular employment [13]. In the valley as a whole the number of unemployed rose by roughly 22,500 each year, and in the Fergana province alone one in every five persons of working age was not engaged in employment [13]. Measures were taken to address the problem — in the Namangan province, for instance, 32,800 people were placed in work over the three years from 1987 to 1989 — but such efforts could not succeed while the underlying one-sidedness of an economy specialised around cotton remained intact [13]. Attempts to expand home-based work faltered for want of the necessary conditions: in the enterprises of the Namangan local-industry administration in 1987 the number of home workers fell 584 short of the planned 3,400, reaching only 2,816 [15].

A striking paradox compounded the crisis. Even as the number of chronically unemployed grew, the valley's industrial enterprises carried numerous unfilled vacancies — some 7,000 in the Fergana province at the end of the decade — because the available jobs were unattractive in respect of location and conditions, and because insufficient attention had been paid to training local workers and specialists and placing them in enterprises [13]. The safety record of those enterprises was itself poor: in the Andijan province more than 2,500 workers were injured between 1981 and 1985, and a further 592 in 1986 alone [13]. Against this background, and notwithstanding the surplus of local labour, the Soviet authorities continued to bring in workers and specialists from other regions of the Union for permanent settlement, most of whom swelled the ranks of the urban population and intensified the pressure on the valley's already overstretched resources [13].

3.5. Soviet nationality policy and the denial of national interests

The material conditions described above were embedded in, and aggravated by, the nationality policy of the Soviet state. The declared aim of that policy — the formation of a "single Soviet people" possessed of a common culture and outlook — was in reality an instrument for holding the peoples of the Union within the Soviet state. In practice the defence by nations of their own interests was treated as action against the state and suppressed by repression [17]. The theorist Ernest Gellner, analysing the general dynamics of national conflict, observed that the interests of nations and of the national state must be brought into alignment; in the Soviet case the participation of the titular population in state administration was strikingly low. As early as 1926 the state administrative apparatus of the Uzbek SSR was composed of 24.7 per cent Uzbeks, 6.0 per cent Jews and 7.4 per cent representatives of other nationalities, while Russians accounted for 61.9 per cent [7].

Language policy was the most sensitive expression of this imbalance. For centuries Uzbek had functioned as the language of interethnic communication in the Fergana Valley, and representatives of other nationalities living there regarded it with respect; the 1979 census recorded that 25,068 Kyrgyz and 12,016 Tajiks in the valley considered Uzbek their native language [16]. Yet the steady privileging of Russian eroded the incentive to learn Uzbek. The contrast is visible in the choice of second language: 38,311 Kyrgyz and 62,708 Tatars had learned Russian as a second language, whereas only 27,156 and 98,096 respectively had learned Uzbek [16]. The elevation of Russian to the status of a dominant language, coupled with

the constriction of opportunities for nations to develop their own traditions and customs, generated in the social and spiritual life of the 1980s a growing current of national dissatisfaction.

3.6. The Meskhetian Turk factor as a structural inheritance

A specific inheritance of Soviet nationality policy was the presence in the valley of the Meskhetian Turks. On 14 November 1944, by special order No. 5279 of the USSR State Defence Committee, the Meskhetian Turks were deported from their homeland in southern Georgia to Central Asia [13]. Although the order gave no reason, the pretext — as with other forcibly resettled peoples — was a presumed disloyalty, namely the supposed possibility of crossing to neighbouring Turkey; this notwithstanding the fact that 46,000 men of the "guilty" people had fought on the Soviet side in the Second World War, of whom 26,000 had perished [13]. According to the president of the Moscow-based "Vatan" international society of Meskhetian Turks, more than 92,000 people were removed from 224 Georgian villages within a single day; the deportation was completed in January 1945, and in its first months alone some 15,432 people died of the hardships of the journey [7]. For a long period the very number of the Meskhetian Turks remained uncertain, since many were registered in state records as Azerbaijani or Georgian.

The greater part of those deported to Central Asia settled in Uzbekistan, and a large group was placed in the Fergana province, above all in the town of Kuvasoy and in the cities and districts around it. Although the Meskhetian Turks lived peaceably in Uzbekistan for decades, the idea of returning to their homeland passed undimmed from one generation to the next. To this end they held a series of congresses in the Soviet period — ten in all between 1962 and 1989 — at which the question of return was debated, and their activism intensified in the Fergana province, and especially in Kuvasoy, in the late 1980s [13]. The obstruction of this return movement by the Centre, and the subsequent attempt by the Soviet leadership to turn the movement to its own purposes, would become — as the companion study argues — a proximate factor in the events of 1989. For the present analysis, the essential point is that the Meskhetian Turk presence was itself a product of Soviet repression, layered onto a region already strained to its limits.

4. Discussion

The evidence assembled above supports a single, cumulative conclusion: by the end of the 1980s the Fergana Valley had fallen below the average indicators of both the Uzbek SSR and the USSR across virtually every dimension of social welfare — food consumption, housing, health care, employment — while carrying a demographic burden without parallel in the republic. This is the study's principal contribution to the historiography, and it reframes the question of causation in the events of 1989.

The dominant popular interpretation locates the cause of the violence in the relationship between Uzbeks and Meskhetian Turks — in other words, in ethnicity as such. The structural evidence tells against this reading. The deprivations documented here were not experienced by one ethnic community at the hands of another; they were the shared condition of a whole region, and they had accumulated over a decade and more before any interethnic incident occurred. A population growing by more than 130,000 a year across the three provinces, one in five of its working-age members without regular employment, housed at little more than half the sanitary norm and served by a decaying medical infrastructure, constituted a reservoir of

grievance that was structural in origin and ethnically undifferentiated in its incidence. To explain the violence of 1989 by reference to ethnic antagonism alone is to mistake the surface of the crisis for its substance.

This interpretation aligns the present study with the critical, post-independence current of the historiography, which has insisted on the social, economic and spiritual consequences of Soviet nationality policy, and against the Soviet-era literature, which subordinated the analysis of the region to an account of socialist achievement and class [1,2,4,5]. It also intersects with the foreign scholarship on the 1989 events. Where authors such as Osipov have emphasised the "construction" of ethnic conflict — the deliberate framing of a socio-economic crisis in ethnic terms — the findings presented here supply the material precondition that made such construction possible [8]. One cannot construct an ethnic conflict out of nothing; the raw material of grievance must already be present, and it is precisely that raw material which the socio-economic record of the valley documents.

The theoretical literature on nationalism helps to specify the mechanism. Gellner's insight that stability requires the alignment of the interests of nations with those of the state throws the Soviet case into relief: the participation of the titular population in the administration of its own republic was, as the 1926 figures already showed, strikingly low, and the "single Soviet people" project pursued not the alignment of national interests but their subordination and, ultimately, their denial [7]. Where a state systematically treats the defence of national interests as hostile action, and where it privileges one language and culture over others, it does not eliminate national feeling but drives it underground, where it accumulates alongside material grievance. The socio-economic deprivation of the valley and the suppression of its national life were therefore not two separate problems but two faces of a single order of administration — one that extracted the region's wealth while denying both its material needs and its cultural aspirations. It is the convergence of these two deprivations, material and national, that gives the structural argument its force.

The comparative regional picture reinforces this reading. The relative deprivation documented here was not uniform across the Uzbek SSR; it was concentrated in the valley, the most densely populated and most intensively cotton-specialised region of the republic. That the crisis should have surfaced first and most violently precisely there, rather than in regions less burdened by demographic pressure and monoculture, is powerful circumstantial evidence for the causal priority of structural over ethnic factors. Had ethnicity been the driving force, one would expect violence to track the distribution of ethnic groups; instead it tracked the distribution of socio-economic strain.

Two qualifications are warranted. First, to argue that the causes of the tension were structural is not to deny that the Meskhetian Turk return movement and the specific frictions of towns such as Kuvasoy played a part; it is to insist that these proximate factors operated on, and drew their explosive force from, a structural substrate. Second, the statistical record is itself a Soviet artefact, and its categories and reliability must be treated with caution; the convergence of published statistics with archival documentation and oral testimony is what lends the aggregate picture its credibility, and no single indicator should be read in isolation.

The broader significance of these findings lies in their bearing on the transition to independence. The socio-economic condition of the Fergana Valley in the 1980s was not an isolated regional pathology but a concentrated expression of the contradictions of the late-

Soviet order: the over-centralisation of economic management, the extraction of value from the periphery, and the subordination of national interests to an assimilationist project. That these contradictions should have surfaced first, and most violently, in the most densely populated and most intensively exploited region of the republic is not accidental. The structural crisis of the valley was, in this sense, a symptom of the terminal crisis of the system itself.

5. Conclusion

This article has reconstructed the socio-economic and demographic conditions of the Fergana Valley during the 1980s in order to establish the structural origins of the interethnic tension that erupted in 1989. Four principal findings emerge. First, the imposition of cotton monoculture distorted the valley's agriculture, depressed food and grain production, and degraded its land and water. Second, the raw-material orientation of industry deprived the region of finished production and of the value generated by its own raw materials, while leaving it dependent on distant suppliers for consumer goods. Third, across food consumption, housing and health care the valley fell below both the republican and the all-Union averages, producing a condition of relative deprivation that was general rather than ethnically specific. Fourth, rapid demographic growth outran the creation of workplaces and the availability of land, generating mass under-employment even as labour was imported from outside the region.

Situated within the framework of a nationality policy that suppressed national interests and privileged Russian over the languages of the titular peoples, these material conditions created a reservoir of grievance that pre-dated and was independent of relations between specific ethnic communities. The events of June 1989 are therefore more accurately understood as the eruption of an accumulated structural crisis than as a primordial ethnic antagonism. Recognising this does not diminish the human tragedy of the violence; it locates that tragedy in its proper causal context and, in doing so, offers a more secure foundation for the policies of interethnic accord that the independent state has pursued. The dynamics of the violence itself, the role of the central and local authorities, and the consequences and reforms that followed are the subject of a companion study.

References

1. Akhmedov E. *O'zbekiston va uning iqtisodiy rayonlari*. Tashkent: United Publishing House of the CC of the CP of Uzbekistan; 1969. 32 p.
2. Sultonov N. *Farg'ona iqtisodiy rayoni sanoatining rivojlanishi*. Tashkent: O'zbekiston; 1985. 24 p.
3. Rakhmonov N. *Osushchestvlenie leninskoy natsional'noy politiki v Sredney Azii*. Tashkent: Fan; 1973. 116 p.
4. Bobojonova D. *O'zbekistonda ijtimoiy-iqtisodiy munosabatlar (70–80-yillar misolida)*. Tashkent: Sharq; 1999. 160 p.
5. Murtazaeva R. *O'zbekistonda millatlararo munosabatlar va bag'rikenglik*. Tashkent: Universitet; 2007. 184 p.
6. Nazarov RR. *Etnopoliticheskie protsessy i mezhetnicheskie otnosheniya v Respublike Uzbekistan (konets XX – nachalo XXI vv.).*- Tashkent: Adabiyot uchqunlari; 2015. 242 p.
7. Gellner E. *Natsii i natsionalizm*. Moscow: INFRA; 1999. 424 p.
8. Osipov A. Ferganskie sobytiya 1989 goda (konstruirovanie etnicheskogo konflikta). Moscow: Nauka; 2004. p. 164–223.
9. *Narodnoe khozyaystvo Uzbekskoy SSR za 60 let*. Tashkent: O'zbekiston; 1984. 352 p.

10. *Narodnoe khozyaystvo Uzbekskoy SSR v 1987 g. Statisticheskiy ezhegodnik*. Tashkent: O'zbekiston; 1988. 342 p.
11. *Chislennost' naseleniya soyuznykh respublik po gorodskim poseleniyam i rayonam na 1 yanvarya 1991 g. Statisticheskiy sbornik*. Moscow; 1991. 446 p.
12. *Ekonomicheskoe i sotsial'noe razvitie Ferganskoy oblasti za chetyre goda dvenadtsatoy pyatiletki (1986–1989 gg.)*- Tashkent; 1990. 43 p. (In Russian.)
13. Central State Archive of the Republic of Uzbekistan (TsGA RUz), fond 837 (Council of Ministers of the Uzbek SSR); Fergana Provincial State Archive, fond 2454 (Executive Committee of the Fergana Province); Fergana provincial section of the archive of the Chancellery of the President of the Republic of Uzbekistan, fond 1 (Fergana Provincial Committee of the CP of Uzbekistan).
14. *Kommuna*, issues of 1988–1989.
15. *Namangan haqiqati*, issues of 1987–1989. (In Uzbek.)
16. *Naselenie SSSR. Po dannym vsesoyuznoy perepisi naseleniya 1989 g.* Moscow: Finansy i statistika; 1990. 206 p.; *Chislennost' i sostav naseleniya SSSR po dannym vsesoyuznoy perepisi naseleniya 1979 goda* [The size and composition of the population of the USSR according to the all-Union census of 1979]. Moscow: Finansy i statistika; 1984. 366 p.
17. Yunusova Kh. *O'zbekistonda sovet davlatining milliy siyosati va uning oqibatlari*. Tashkent: Zar qalam; 2005. 113 p.
18. Rakhimov M, Legay A. *Mehnatning ijtimoiy muammolari*. Tashkent: Fan; 1992. 119 p.
19. Ziyodullaev S. *O'zbekiston ekonomikasining regional problemalari*. Tashkent: Fan; 1987. 64 p.
20. Abdullaev Sh. *Etnosotsial'nye i etnopoliticheskie protsessy v Ferganskoy doline (osnovnye problemy, tendentsii i protivorechiya, 70–80-e gody XX v.)*. Doctoral dissertation in history. Tashkent; 1994. 233 p.