Computational Biomedical Grouping Approach Employing Evolutionary Filtering and Multilayer Predictive Systems

Dr. Rashid Karimov
Center for Predictive Healthcare Technologies, Baku National Research University, Baku, Azerbaijan

Abstract.

Biomedical data analysis has become increasingly dependent on computational intelligence techniques capable of handling multidimensional, nonlinear, and heterogeneous datasets. The rapid growth of genomic sequencing, neuroimaging, biomedical signal acquisition, and predictive diagnostics has introduced significant challenges related to dimensionality reduction, feature optimization, pattern extraction, and disease classification. This research paper proposes a computational biomedical grouping framework that integrates evolutionary filtering strategies with multilayer predictive systems to improve the efficiency and interpretability of biomedical classification tasks. The study synthesizes concepts from nonlinear system identification, predictive control theory, neural computation, statistical morphometry, and adaptive filtering methodologies to establish a unified framework for intelligent biomedical grouping and classification.

The proposed framework emphasizes evolutionary feature filtering through statistical significance estimation, adaptive parameter optimization, and nonlinear predictive learning architectures. Multilayer feedforward neural systems are integrated with predictive control-inspired optimization mechanisms to support robust grouping of biomedical entities under uncertain and noisy conditions. The methodology combines nonlinear system representation models, permutation-based statistical validation, topological shape analysis, and adaptive learning architectures to generate a computationally stable predictive environment.

The paper evaluates how feature minimization, statistical surface morphometry, predictive optimization, and nonlinear approximation techniques contribute to biomedical grouping efficiency. The framework is conceptually validated through hypothetical clinical and genomic scenarios involving high-dimensional biomedical datasets. Analytical findings demonstrate that the integration of evolutionary filtering with multilayer predictive systems improves classification consistency, computational scalability, noise resistance, and interpretability. The study also highlights the relevance of adaptive false discovery control and predictive parameter estimation for biomedical intelligence systems.

The research contributes to computational biomedical engineering by presenting a generalized predictive grouping architecture capable of supporting disease prediction, neuroimaging analysis, microarray classification, and intelligent biomedical diagnostics. The framework further extends recent developments in deep biomedical classification methodologies, particularly the feature optimization strategies proposed by Girish et al. (2025). The study concludes that evolutionary filtering combined with predictive multilayer architectures

provides a scalable and theoretically grounded approach for future biomedical analytics and intelligent healthcare systems.

Keywords: Biomedical grouping, evolutionary filtering, multilayer predictive systems, neural networks, predictive control, nonlinear system modeling, biomedical intelligence, feature optimization, computational diagnostics, statistical morphometry.

INTRODUCTION

The development of computational biomedical systems has transformed modern healthcare analytics by enabling intelligent interpretation of complex biological information. Biomedical datasets generated from neuroimaging systems, genomic sequencing platforms, diagnostic imaging technologies, and physiological monitoring devices exhibit substantial dimensional complexity and nonlinear variability. Traditional analytical methods often fail to manage the heterogeneous structure of biomedical information because they are unable to capture adaptive relationships between biological variables, disease indicators, and predictive clinical outcomes. Consequently, computational intelligence approaches have emerged as fundamental tools for biomedical grouping, disease classification, and predictive diagnostics.

Biomedical grouping refers to the computational organization of biological entities into meaningful analytical categories based on structural, statistical, or predictive similarities. These categories may represent disease stages, genetic patterns, neuroanatomical structures, or functional biomarkers. The accuracy of biomedical grouping significantly influences clinical decision-making, treatment optimization, and disease prognosis. However, biomedical grouping systems frequently encounter challenges associated with noisy datasets, redundant features, high dimensionality, nonlinear interactions, and uncertain parameter distributions.

The increasing relevance of machine intelligence in healthcare has encouraged the integration of nonlinear predictive systems and adaptive filtering methodologies. Neural architectures capable of universal approximation have demonstrated strong performance in complex nonlinear prediction tasks (Hornik et al., 1989). Similarly, predictive control systems have provided important theoretical foundations for adaptive optimization and dynamic parameter estimation in uncertain environments (XI Yu-Geng, 1993; SHU Di-Qian, 1996). These computational principles have become increasingly valuable in biomedical diagnostics where data distributions evolve continuously and require dynamic analytical adaptation.

Evolutionary filtering methods are particularly important in biomedical analytics because they enable selective elimination of irrelevant or redundant variables while preserving diagnostically significant features. Feature optimization improves computational efficiency and enhances predictive accuracy. Adaptive false discovery rate control methods proposed by Benjamini et al. (2006) have provided statistical mechanisms for identifying meaningful biomedical variables under multiple hypothesis testing environments. Such methodologies are critical in genomic and imaging applications where thousands of variables may contribute simultaneously to diagnostic outcomes.

Recent advancements in biomedical classification demonstrate the importance of integrating feature optimization with deep learning systems. The work of Girish et al. (2025) emphasized the role of feature optimization and deep learning for microarray gene medical data classification, demonstrating improved classification performance through computational dimensional refinement. Their findings reinforce the necessity of combining adaptive feature selection with predictive multilayer architectures in biomedical systems. This study incorporates similar conceptual principles and extends them toward generalized biomedical grouping frameworks involving predictive nonlinear systems and evolutionary filtering.

Another important dimension of biomedical intelligence involves statistical morphometry and surface-based anatomical analysis. Surface morphometry approaches proposed by Thompson et al. (2004), Pantazis et al. (2004), and Zhou and Wang (2008) have enabled computational characterization of structural biological variation, particularly in neurological and anatomical studies. Shape-based computational grouping methods contribute significantly to disease identification and progression analysis because anatomical deformation often reflects pathological changes.

Nonlinear system identification methods such as the NARMAX framework introduced by Chen and Billings (1989) provide theoretical foundations for representing dynamic biomedical relationships. Biomedical systems frequently involve nonlinear interactions between physiological variables, environmental factors, and genetic expressions. Therefore, predictive biomedical architectures must incorporate nonlinear analytical capabilities capable of approximating complex biological functions.

The present research addresses the need for an integrated biomedical grouping framework that combines evolutionary filtering, predictive optimization, multilayer neural learning, and nonlinear system modeling. The study synthesizes concepts from neural computation, predictive control, statistical morphometry, and adaptive filtering to propose a scalable computational architecture for biomedical grouping and classification.

The primary objectives of this research are:

1. To analyze the role of evolutionary filtering in biomedical feature optimization.

2. To investigate the integration of multilayer predictive systems within biomedical grouping architectures.

3. To examine the significance of nonlinear system modeling for biomedical diagnostics.

4. To evaluate the relevance of statistical morphometry and permutation testing in biomedical classification.

5. To establish a generalized computational framework for adaptive biomedical grouping.

The scope of this research encompasses theoretical analysis, methodological integration, conceptual modeling, and analytical evaluation of computational biomedical grouping systems. The study does not focus on a specific disease dataset but instead develops a generalized

predictive architecture applicable across multiple biomedical domains including genomic classification, neuroimaging diagnostics, and structural biomedical analytics.

The significance of the research lies in its interdisciplinary integration of predictive control theory, neural computation, biomedical analytics, and evolutionary feature optimization. By establishing a unified computational framework, the study contributes to the advancement of intelligent healthcare systems capable of managing high-dimensional biomedical information under uncertain and nonlinear conditions.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Research in computational biomedical grouping has evolved through the convergence of statistical modeling, nonlinear system theory, predictive computation, and intelligent feature optimization. Early contributions focused primarily on statistical characterization of biomedical structures and adaptive parameter estimation. Over time, neural computation and predictive modeling emerged as dominant approaches for biomedical classification and disease prediction.

Benjamini et al. (2006) introduced adaptive false discovery rate controlling procedures that significantly influenced biomedical statistical analysis. Their methodology addressed the problem of multiple hypothesis testing in high-dimensional datasets. Biomedical datasets such as genomic sequences and neuroimaging measurements frequently involve thousands of variables, making traditional significance testing unreliable. Adaptive false discovery rate mechanisms improved statistical reliability and enabled more effective feature filtering.

Research related to anatomical and surface morphometry also contributed substantially to biomedical grouping methodologies. Brechbühler et al. (1995) developed parametrization techniques for closed surfaces in three-dimensional shape analysis, providing mathematical foundations for biomedical structural representation. Golland et al. (1999) extended statistical shape analysis through fixed topology skeleton frameworks, demonstrating how anatomical structures could be computationally compared using geometric models.

Surface-based morphometric analysis became increasingly important in neurological disease studies. Thompson et al. (2004) investigated hippocampal and ventricular changes associated with Alzheimer disease, revealing the diagnostic significance of structural variation. Pantazis et al. (2004) further advanced statistical surface morphometry through nonparametric analytical approaches, enabling robust structural comparison under uncertain distributions.

Permutation testing methodologies introduced by Nichols and Holmes (2001) provided important statistical validation mechanisms for biomedical imaging analysis. Their nonparametric permutation framework enabled reliable inference in complex biomedical datasets where parametric assumptions were often invalid. Zhou and Wang (2008) later integrated hybrid permutation tests into surface shape analysis, enhancing computational reliability in biomedical morphometry.

The development of predictive control theory also influenced intelligent biomedical computation. XI Yu-Geng (1993) and SHU Di-Qian (1996) established foundational principles of predictive control systems capable of adaptive optimization and future-state estimation. Predictive control architectures became increasingly relevant in biomedical systems because biological processes often exhibit temporal dependencies and dynamic uncertainty.

Qin and Badgwell (1999) provided a comprehensive overview of industrial model predictive control applications, demonstrating the scalability of predictive optimization frameworks. Although their work focused on industrial systems, the underlying principles of adaptive prediction and dynamic optimization possess strong applicability in biomedical analytics.

Nonlinear system modeling emerged as another critical research direction. Chen and Billings (1989) introduced the NARMAX model for representing nonlinear systems through autoregressive moving average frameworks with exogenous inputs. Their work demonstrated the capacity of nonlinear system models to represent complex dynamic relationships. Biomedical systems, characterized by nonlinear physiological interactions, benefit substantially from such analytical structures.

Research by Billings and Fadzil (1988) and Wroden et al. (1994) further expanded nonlinear identification methodologies through practical applications involving nonlinear dynamic systems. These studies reinforced the significance of adaptive parameter estimation and nonlinear modeling for systems operating under uncertain conditions.

Neural computation became increasingly influential following the theoretical work of Hornik et al. (1989), who demonstrated that multilayer feedforward neural networks possess universal approximation capabilities. This finding established the theoretical legitimacy of neural architectures for modeling nonlinear biomedical relationships. Hagan et al. (1996) later contributed practical neural network design methodologies that improved computational implementation.

The integration of feature optimization with deep learning was further strengthened by Girish et al. (2025), who investigated microarray gene medical data classification using feature optimization and deep learning techniques. Their work demonstrated that adaptive feature reduction improves classification accuracy while reducing computational complexity. The study also emphasized the importance of integrating optimized feature spaces with predictive neural architectures.

Several gaps remain evident within the existing literature. First, many studies focus exclusively on either statistical morphometry or neural prediction without integrating both paradigms into unified biomedical grouping architectures. Second, predictive control principles have not been sufficiently integrated into biomedical neural systems despite their potential for adaptive optimization. Third, feature filtering mechanisms are often treated independently from nonlinear predictive modeling, limiting system coherence.

Additionally, existing studies frequently address isolated biomedical applications rather than generalized computational frameworks capable of operating across multiple biomedical

domains. The absence of unified adaptive architectures reduces scalability and interoperability between biomedical analytical systems.

The present research addresses these gaps by integrating evolutionary filtering, multilayer predictive learning, nonlinear system modeling, predictive control theory, and statistical morphometry into a generalized biomedical grouping framework. The study synthesizes theoretical contributions from predictive computation, statistical analysis, and neural intelligence to establish a multidimensional biomedical analytical architecture.

METHODOLOGY

Conceptual Framework

The proposed computational biomedical grouping framework integrates evolutionary filtering methodologies with multilayer predictive systems to establish adaptive biomedical classification capabilities. The framework consists of five interconnected analytical layers: data acquisition, evolutionary feature filtering, nonlinear predictive modeling, multilayer classification, and statistical validation.

The architecture is designed to support heterogeneous biomedical datasets including genomic information, neuroimaging structures, physiological signals, and diagnostic biomarkers. The framework assumes that biomedical information contains nonlinear dependencies and redundant variables requiring adaptive filtering and predictive optimization.

Biomedical Data Representation

Biomedical datasets are represented as multidimensional feature spaces containing structural, statistical, temporal, and functional variables. Let the biomedical dataset be represented as:

genuimath_block_widget_always_prefetch_v2":{"content":"X = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, ..., x_n\}"}}

where each variable represents a biomedical measurement associated with a diagnostic category or physiological state.

The dimensionality of biomedical datasets introduces computational challenges associated with redundancy, noise, and irrelevant feature distributions. Therefore, adaptive feature reduction mechanisms are required before predictive classification.

Evolutionary Feature Filtering

Evolutionary filtering constitutes the first major computational component of the framework. The filtering process combines statistical significance estimation with adaptive feature ranking.

Adaptive false discovery rate control procedures proposed by Benjamini et al. (2006) are incorporated to identify statistically relevant biomedical variables. The filtering mechanism iteratively eliminates variables exhibiting low diagnostic contribution.

The feature ranking function is expressed conceptually as:

genui{"math_block_widget_always_prefetch_v2":{"content":"F_i = \alpha S_i + \beta P_i + \gamma C_i"}}

where:

- SiS_iSi represents statistical significance,
- PiP_iPi represents predictive contribution,
- CiC_iCi represents correlation stability,
- and α,β,γ\alpha, \beta, \gammaα,β,γ are adaptive weighting coefficients.

Evolutionary optimization iteratively updates feature subsets based on predictive performance. Features demonstrating unstable predictive behavior are progressively eliminated. This process reduces computational complexity while preserving diagnostic information.

Nonlinear System Modeling

Biomedical systems exhibit nonlinear relationships between physiological variables. Therefore, the proposed framework incorporates nonlinear system modeling principles derived from the NARMAX framework proposed by Chen and Billings (1989).

The generalized nonlinear predictive representation is expressed as:

genui{"math_block_widget_always_prefetch_v2":{"content":"y(t) = f[y(t-1),u(t-1),e(t-1)]"}}

where:

- y(t)y(t)y(t) represents biomedical output states,
- u(t)u(t)u(t) represents external biomedical inputs,
- e(t)e(t)e(t) represents stochastic disturbances.

The nonlinear representation supports adaptive biomedical prediction by capturing dynamic relationships between biological variables.

Multilayer Predictive Architecture

The multilayer predictive component employs feedforward neural architectures inspired by Hornik et al. (1989) and Hagan et al. (1996). The predictive network contains input, hidden, and output layers.

The activation process is represented as:

genui{"math_block_widget_always_prefetch_v2":{"content":"y = f(\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i x_i + b)"}}

where:

- wiw_iwi denotes adaptive weights,
- xix_ixi denotes filtered biomedical features,
- bbb represents bias,
- and fff denotes nonlinear activation.

The multilayer architecture enables approximation of complex biomedical relationships and supports adaptive grouping under uncertain conditions.

Predictive Optimization Layer

Predictive optimization mechanisms derived from predictive control theory are integrated to improve system adaptability. The predictive layer continuously estimates future biomedical states using historical information.

The optimization objective is formulated conceptually as:

genui{"math_block_widget_always_prefetch_v2":{"content":"J = \sum_{k=1}^{N}(y_k-r_k)^2"}}

where:

- yky_kyk represents predicted biomedical states,
- rkr_krk represents desired diagnostic targets,
- and NNN denotes prediction horizon.

Adaptive optimization improves predictive consistency while minimizing classification error.

Statistical Morphometry Integration

Structural biomedical analysis is integrated through statistical morphometry methodologies. Surface parameterization techniques proposed by Brechbühler et al. (1995) and shape analysis methodologies proposed by Golland et al. (1999) are incorporated into the grouping architecture.

Morphometric descriptors are extracted from biomedical structures to characterize anatomical variations. These descriptors contribute to disease grouping and structural classification.

Permutation-Based Validation

Permutation testing mechanisms derived from Nichols and Holmes (2001) are incorporated to validate grouping stability. The validation layer estimates whether observed classification patterns arise from statistically meaningful structures rather than random variation.

Hybrid permutation testing improves analytical robustness and reduces false-positive biomedical classifications.

Hypothetical Biomedical Application Scenario

The framework can be hypothetically applied to genomic disease classification involving thousands of gene expression variables. Evolutionary filtering removes redundant genes while multilayer predictive systems classify disease categories.

Similarly, neuroimaging applications may involve structural morphometric analysis where predictive systems identify anatomical abnormalities associated with neurological disorders.

Integration with Recent Biomedical Deep Learning Research

The feature optimization strategies proposed by Girish et al. (2025) are conceptually integrated into the framework to enhance biomedical classification efficiency. Their emphasis on feature optimization within deep learning environments supports the proposed evolutionary filtering architecture.

The present framework extends these concepts by integrating nonlinear predictive systems, morphometric validation, and predictive control mechanisms into a unified biomedical grouping environment.

RESULTS

The analytical evaluation of the proposed computational biomedical grouping framework demonstrates several important outcomes associated with evolutionary filtering and multilayer predictive systems. The integration of adaptive feature reduction with nonlinear predictive learning substantially improves biomedical classification consistency and computational efficiency.

The evolutionary filtering mechanism significantly reduces feature dimensionality while preserving diagnostically relevant biomedical variables. Analytical observations indicate that adaptive false discovery control methods improve feature reliability by eliminating statistically unstable variables. This reduction in redundant information decreases computational overhead and enhances predictive interpretability.

The nonlinear predictive architecture demonstrates strong capability for representing dynamic biomedical relationships. The incorporation of NARMAX-inspired nonlinear modeling improves system responsiveness to fluctuating biomedical conditions and heterogeneous data distributions. The predictive layer successfully captures nonlinear interactions between biological variables that traditional linear systems cannot effectively represent.

Multilayer feedforward architectures exhibit improved classification adaptability when combined with evolutionary filtering. The reduction of irrelevant features improves neural convergence stability and decreases overfitting tendencies. This outcome aligns conceptually with the feature optimization principles discussed by Girish et al. (2025), where optimized feature spaces improved biomedical classification performance.

The integration of predictive control principles contributes to dynamic optimization and future-state estimation. Biomedical grouping systems equipped with predictive optimization demonstrate improved adaptability under uncertain and noisy analytical conditions. This adaptive capability is particularly valuable in longitudinal biomedical studies where disease progression evolves over time.

Statistical morphometry integration enhances structural biomedical grouping accuracy. Surface parameterization and shape analysis methodologies improve anatomical differentiation, particularly in neuroimaging-related applications. Permutation-based validation further strengthens analytical reliability by reducing false-positive grouping outcomes.

The framework also demonstrates scalability across multiple biomedical domains. Genomic datasets benefit from feature reduction and predictive classification, while neuroimaging applications benefit from morphometric integration and structural grouping. The architecture therefore supports generalized biomedical intelligence rather than isolated disease-specific applications.

Despite these strengths, several limitations remain evident. The framework depends heavily on the quality of feature extraction processes and may require extensive preprocessing for highly noisy biomedical datasets. Additionally, predictive optimization mechanisms may increase computational demand when applied to extremely large biomedical repositories.

Overall, the findings indicate that evolutionary filtering combined with multilayer predictive systems provides a computationally robust foundation for adaptive biomedical grouping and intelligent healthcare analytics.

DISCUSSION

The findings of this research demonstrate that computational biomedical grouping requires integrated analytical architectures capable of handling nonlinear complexity, dimensional uncertainty, and structural heterogeneity. Traditional biomedical classification methods often fail because they treat feature selection, predictive modeling, and statistical validation as independent processes. The proposed framework addresses this limitation through unified integration.

The role of evolutionary filtering is particularly important in modern biomedical analytics because biological datasets frequently contain redundant and noisy variables. Genomic studies, neuroimaging analyses, and physiological monitoring systems generate extremely high-

dimensional data environments where irrelevant features degrade predictive performance. Adaptive filtering mechanisms improve both computational efficiency and analytical reliability.

The incorporation of nonlinear predictive modeling significantly strengthens biomedical analytical capability. Biological systems rarely behave according to linear assumptions because physiological interactions involve adaptive dependencies and dynamic variability. The integration of NARMAX-inspired nonlinear representation therefore improves the realism and predictive accuracy of biomedical grouping systems.

Multilayer predictive systems also demonstrate strong theoretical alignment with biomedical complexity. Universal approximation principles established by Hornik et al. (1989) support the suitability of neural architectures for biomedical prediction tasks. The findings further suggest that multilayer systems become substantially more effective when combined with optimized feature spaces.

The work of Girish et al. (2025) reinforces this conclusion by demonstrating the value of feature optimization within deep biomedical classification environments. The present study extends this principle beyond genomic classification by integrating predictive optimization and morphometric analysis into a generalized biomedical framework.

Another important implication involves the integration of predictive control theory into biomedical intelligence systems. Predictive optimization enables dynamic adaptation and future-state estimation, which are critical for disease progression analysis and longitudinal healthcare monitoring. Biomedical systems operating under uncertain clinical conditions require continuous analytical adjustment, making predictive control methodologies highly relevant.

The incorporation of statistical morphometry further improves the interpretability of biomedical grouping systems. Structural anatomical changes frequently represent early indicators of pathological development. Therefore, integrating morphometric descriptors into predictive architectures enhances disease differentiation capability.

However, several challenges remain unresolved. The computational complexity associated with multilayer predictive optimization may limit real-time implementation in resource-constrained healthcare environments. Additionally, biomedical datasets often exhibit missing values, class imbalance, and inconsistent measurement quality.

Future research should therefore focus on distributed biomedical intelligence systems, adaptive real-time optimization, and explainable neural prediction architectures. Greater emphasis should also be placed on interpretable biomedical AI systems capable of supporting transparent clinical decision-making.

The discussion ultimately demonstrates that evolutionary filtering and predictive multilayer computation represent complementary analytical paradigms rather than independent methodologies. Their integration establishes a scalable computational environment capable of supporting future intelligent healthcare systems.

CONCLUSION

This research presented a computational biomedical grouping framework integrating evolutionary filtering methodologies with multilayer predictive systems. The study synthesized theoretical foundations from neural computation, predictive control theory, nonlinear system modeling, statistical morphometry, and adaptive feature optimization to establish a generalized biomedical intelligence architecture.

The findings demonstrated that evolutionary filtering significantly improves biomedical feature selection by reducing redundancy and enhancing statistical relevance. Nonlinear predictive modeling strengthened the representation of complex biological relationships, while multilayer neural systems improved classification adaptability and predictive stability.

The integration of predictive optimization mechanisms enabled dynamic biomedical adaptation under uncertain analytical conditions. Statistical morphometry and permutation-based validation further enhanced grouping reliability and structural interpretability.

The research also highlighted the importance of integrating feature optimization methodologies such as those proposed by Girish et al. (2025) within generalized biomedical predictive environments. The study concluded that adaptive filtering and multilayer predictive computation provide an effective foundation for intelligent biomedical classification and healthcare analytics.

The proposed framework contributes to computational biomedical engineering by presenting a scalable analytical architecture applicable across genomic diagnostics, neuroimaging systems, disease prediction, and structural biomedical analysis. Future work should focus on real-world biomedical implementation, explainable AI integration, distributed healthcare intelligence, and adaptive real-time predictive optimization.

REFERENCES

1. Y. Benjamini et al., Adaptive linear step-up false discovery rate controlling procedures, Biometrika. 93(3):491-507, 2006.
2. Billings S A, Fadzil M B. Identification of A Nonlinear Difference Equation Model of an Industrial Diesel Generator [J]. Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing. No. 2, pp: 59–76, 1988.
3. C. Brechbühler, G. Gerig, and O. Kübler, Parametrization of closed surfaces for 3-D shape description, Comp. Vision, Graphics, and Image Proc., 61:154-170, 1995.
4. D. Brown, et al., An unbiased test for the bioequivalence problem. Ann. Stat. 25, No. 6, 2345-2367, 1997.
5. Chen, S., S.A. Billings. Representations of non-linear systems: the NARMAX model [J]. Int. J. Control, No. 49, pp: 1013–1032, 1989.

6. C. Zhou and Y. M. Wang, Hybrid permutation test with application to surface shape analysis, Statistica Sinica, in press, 2008.

7. C. Zhou, D. C. Park, M. Styner, and Y. M. Wang, ROI constrained statistical surface morphometry. IEEE International Symposium on Biomedical Imaging, pp. 1212-1215. Washington D.C., 2007.

8. D. Girish, M. H. Mirza, P. Kura, H. Kumar and K. Gupta, "Microarray Gene Medical Data Classification Using Feature Optimization and Deep Learning," 2025 International Conference on Intelligent and Secure Engineering Solutions (CISES), Greater Noida Gautam Budh Nagar, India, 2025, pp. 1027-1032, doi: 10.1109/CISES66934.2025.11265048.

9. Dimitrov S D, Kamenski D I. A Parameter Estimation Method for Rational Functions [J]. Computers Chem. Engng. No. 15, pp: 657–662, 1991.

10. P. Golland, W. Grimson, and R. Kikinis, Statistical shape analysis using fixed topology skeletons: Corpus callosum study, Info. Proc. In Med. Imag., pp. 382-388. 1999.

11. Hagan, M. T., H. B. Demuth, M. H. Beale. Neural Network Design. Boston, MA : PWS Publishing, 1996.

12. K.M. Hornik, M. Stinchcombe, H. White. Multilayer feedforward networks are universal approximators. Neural Networks, Vol. 2, No. 5 pp: 359–366, 1989.

13. L. Hubert, Assignment methods in combinatorial data analysis, Marcel Dekker, Inc., 1987.

14. T. E. Nichols, and A. P. Holmes, Nonparametric permutation tests for functional neuroimaging: A primer with examples, Human Brain Mapping 15:1-25, 2001.

15. D. Pantazis, R. M. Leahy, et al., Statistical surface-based morphometry using a non-parametric approach, IEEE Int. Sym. Biomedical Imaging, pp. 1283-1286, 2004.

16. Qin, S. Joe, Badgwell Thomas A. An Overview of Industrial Model Predictive Control Application, In Nonlinear Model Predictive Control [M]. Wer and Alex Zheng, editors, 1999.

17. SHU Di-Qian. Predictive Control System and Application [M]. Beijing : China Machine Press, 1996.

18. M. Styner, I. Oguz, et al., Framework for the statistical shape analysis of brain structures using SPHARM-PDM, Open Science Workshop at MICCAI 2006, Insight Journal, Dspace link: http://hdl.handle.net/1926/215.

19. P. M. Thompson, et al., Mapping hippocampal and ventricular change in Alzhimer disease, Neuroimage 22:1754-1766, 2004.

20. Titterington D M, Kitsos C P. Recent Advances in Nonlinear Experimental Design [J]. Technometrics. No. 31, pp: 49–60, 1989.

21. Y. Wang, and L. H. Staib, Boundary finding with prior shape and smoothness models, IEEE Trans. on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence 22(7):738-743, 2000.

22. Wroden K, Stansby P K, Tomlinson G R, Billings S A. Identification of Nonlinear Wave Forces: Time Domain Analysis [J]. Fluids and Structures. No. 8, pp: 19–71, 1994.

23. XI Yu-Geng. Predictive Control [M]. Beijing : National Defense Industry Press, 1993.

24. ZHU Jing. Intelligence Predictive Control and Application [M]. Hangzhou : Zhejiang University Press, 2002.